

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.5581 of 2017

Date of Decision: 30.05.2017

AVTAR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. B.S. Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

On 20.02.2017, following order was passed by this
Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged lessee is the second husband of the complainant. Will in favour of complainant has also been discarded by the Civil Court and claim of mother of the deceased i.e. mother-in-law of the complainant was acknowledged as one of the heir of deceased Gurjant Singh. In FIR No.130 dated 19.06.2014, petitioner has been acquitted on 30.11.2015. Buta Singh has already been granted anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion for 17.04.2017.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on

27.02.2017 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

On 17.04.2017, petitioner was again directed to appear before the Investigation Officer to join the proceedings.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that pursuant to the aforesaid orders, petitioner has joined the investigation.

The aforesaid fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions from HC Gurbhajan Singh. Learned counsel further stated that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of above, interim orders dated 20.02.2017 and 17.04.2017 are made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

May 30, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No