

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5531-2017

Date of decision: March 22, 2017.

Palak Dutt

... **Petitioner**

v.

Vijay Kumar Kaushal

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Ved Parkash, Advocate for the petitioner.

Respondent in person.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Respondent Vijay Kumar Kaushal has appeared in person who has been identified by Learned counsel for the petitioner. Petitioner is also present along with her counsel. The respondent makes a categorical statement that he would not like to pursue the complaint No. 52 dated 15.2.2014 (Annexure P-1) and the summoning order dated 30.10.2014.

Looking to the allegations made by the respondent against the petitioner who was his tenant, without making any further comments, I think the parties should be allowed to live in peace and harmony. In that view of the matter, the rule is made absolute in terms of the following prayer:-

“Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Praying for quashing of complaint No. 52 dated 15.02.2014 (Annexure P-1) and summoning order dated 30.10.2014 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC pending for 22.02.2017 before the JMIC, Chandigarh as well as other subsequent proceedings arising out of this complaint may kindly be quashed on compromise basis between the parties.”

Consequently, the proceedings are quashed.

No costs.

March 22, 2017.

kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No