

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

248

CRM-M-5511-2017.

Date of Decision: 07.12.2017.

Hartinder Singh

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and another

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Chahal, APP, U.T. Chandigarh for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

Through this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made for quashing F.I.R. No.326 dated 18.08.2016 registered under Sections 279, 337 and 338 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Sector-17, Chandigarh (U.T.), on the basis of compromise dated 03.02.2017 (Annexure-P2).

On presentation of the petition, vide order dated 20.02.2017 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court on 15.03.2017 for recording their statements qua compromise with a direction to the trial Court to furnish a report regarding veracity thereof, if any, between the parties.

Consequently, the parties had appeared before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh and got recorded their statements. Report

from the concerned Magistrate has been received vide letter No.CJM-AHL-

2017/144 dated 23.03.2017 duly forwarded by learned District and Sessions Judge, Chandigarh vide Endst. No.4014 dated 23.03.2017.

According to the report of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, the compromise effected between the parties appears to be without any fear or undue influence.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the compromise will bring harmony in relations between the parties, the present petition is accepted and the aforesaid F.I.R. No.326 is quashed qua the petitioner, subject to depositing costs of ₹10,000/- by the petitioner with the U.T. Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh within one week, failing which this petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

List on 14.12.2017 for production of receipt regarding deposit of costs.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

07.12.2017
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No