

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5494-2017

Date of Decision: 29.03.2017

Ram Swroop and others

.....Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, D.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioners were seeking protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no. 6 to 8 on account of the fact that the son of petitioners no. 1 and 2, namely Atul, had married the daughter of respondents no. 6 and 7 against the wishes of the said respondents, but with the consent of the petitioners.

Pursuant to notice having been issued on the last date of hearing, a reply was filed on behalf of respondents no. 1, 2 and 4, i.e. the State of Haryana, the Superintendent of Police Yamuna Nagar and the SHO Police Station Farak Pur, District Yamuna Nagar, by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police-I, Yamuna Nagar, stating to the effect that the police of Police Station, Farak Pur, had gone to the house of the petitioners at Yamuna Nagar, in search of the daughter of respondents no. 6

and 7, along with police officials of the Punjab Police, but did not find their daughter, i.e. Rajwant Kaur, in the house of the petitioners. Thereafter, the petitioners were never threatened and in fact telephonically the matter was inquired into again and the petitioners were assured that if they required any police help they would be provided due protection.

This Court, vide its order dated 28.02.2017, had directed the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, to file an affidavit in response to the petition and consequently, the said affidavit has been filed by Mr. K.S. Pannu, D.A.G., Punjab, wherein the Senior Superintendent of Police has stated that in view of the fact that the accused in FIR no. 02 dated 20.01.2017, registered for the alleged commission of an offence punishable under Section 366 IPC, at Police Station Amir Khas, District Ferozepur, had married the daughter of respondents no. 6 and 7, as per the free will of the said daughter, a cancellation report in the FIR has been prepared and would be presented to the competent Court shortly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the above and the fact that the petitioners have not suffered any harassment after the petition was filed, the petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such but with a direction to respondents no. 2 and 4 to continue to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is duly protected, as per law.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 29, 2017

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No.