

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 218

CRM-M-5467-2017

Decided on : 23.02.2017

Rohit Thakur

..... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Rohit Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

. . .

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr. P. C., the petitioner seeks the concession of regular bail in FIR No. 16, dated 28.01.2017, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427 and 506 IPC (Sections 325 and 307 IPC added later on), at Police Station Sector 20, Panchkula.

Seeking regular bail, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even if the case of the prosecution is taken as the gospel truth only a simple injury has been attributed to the petitioner; he has been in custody since 29.01.2017; undertakes to abide by any condition(s) that this court may impose while granting the bail and no useful purpose would be served by keeping him in jail.

Learned State counsel opposes the bail application on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner.

In view of the above, after considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and finding merit on the same, I am of the opinion that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail.

Bail to the satisfaction Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Panchkula.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

While on bail if the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the competent court for cancellation of the granted bail to the petitioner through this order.

23.02.2017
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE