

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No. 5275 of 2017

Date of Decision: 22.02.2017

JASWANT SINGH @ GOGI

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB

-RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has filed this petition for grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 117 dated 11.10.2016 under Sections 324/34 of IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Budhlada, District Mansa.

Complainant Babu Singh alleged that on 08.06.2016, he and his son Lovepreet Singh were present in their house. At about 7'o clock, he heard noise of his son in the street and when

he and Amrik Singh came outside, then he saw that Jaswant Singh son of Darshan Singh had caught hold of his son from his arms. When the complainant tried to rescue his son from the clutches of said Jaswant Singh, then Jaswant Singh who was armed with Poker (metal rod with handle) gave him a blow in his abdomen in left side. He further gave a blow in the abdomen on the lungs. Third blow was given in the middle of the abdomen and fourth blow was given on the left shoulder. Thereafter, Teenu Singh also came there in support of Jaswant Singh and gave slaps and punches to the son of the complainant and Amrik Singh. On making alarm, all the assailants fled away from the spot.

Evidently, in respect of occurrence dated 08.06.2016, FIR in question came to be registered on 11.10.2016. In a supplementary statement made by the complainant on 14.10.2016, alleged complicity of the petitioner was changed inter-se with the main accused Jaswant Singh.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI

Rajinder Singh pointed out that the supplementary statement of the injured-complainant was recorded on 14.10.2016 and the complainant was fit during the intervening period i.e. from the date of occurrence to the date of making of statement.

At this juncture, without forming opinion on ultimate merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to grant benefit of regular bail to the petitioner. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

22.02.2017
jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No