

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-516-2017

Date of Decision: 21.02.2017

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, D.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the police official who is present in Court today to assist him, submits that the petitioner had not joined the investigation as directed vide order dated 17.02.2017, but jointed on 20.02.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this was for the reason that the order dated 17.02.2017 was not available on the net till 18.02.2017.

Consequently, since the petitioner joined investigation and no recovery is to be made from him, the electricity cable in question already having been recovered, the order dated 17.02.2017 is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 21, 2017

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.