

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR 3449-2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.10.2017

Amrit Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Mahindra & Financial Services Limited

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Nitin Thathai, Advocate for the respondent
Ms.Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Custody certificate dated 12.10.2017 filed in Court today and the same is taken on record. It shows that the petitioner has undergone the actual sentence of 2 months and 7 days including remissions of 4 days. It comes out from the last order that parties had compromised the matter. Learned counsel for the complainant has made a statement that he has no objection if the petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him. Petitioner is not in a position to pay the litigation charges as per judgment of the Apex Court in Damodar S. Prabhu vs. Sayed Babalal H., 2010 (5) SCC 663.

Considering the fact that the matter has been amicably settled, but the petitioner shows his inability in paying the cost of litigation which is to be paid as per the authority of the Apex Court, sentence of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him. Petitioner is on bail. His bail and surety bonds are discharged.

With the above noted modification, the revision petition is dismissed.

12.10.2017
gk

(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No