

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-509 of 2017

Date of Decision: 18.04.2017.

Sumitra

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present: Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks
permission to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

In pursuance of the order dated 06.03.2017, learned
Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar has sought guidance whether the
Court could proceed with the trial with regard to petitioner, Sumitra
which has not been proceeding in view of the order passed in CRR
No.3080 of 2016. It is clarified that the petitioner not being a party in
the said revision petition and in view of the specific clarification that
the stay is qua the petitioner in the revision petition, the trial Court is
directed to conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within eight

weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order passed by
this Court.

Disposed of.

18.04.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No