

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5044-2017
Date of decision : 23.03.2017

Sharmila and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.
Mr.Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Reply by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ellenabad, District Sirsa, has been filed in Court by the learned State counsel, in which it is stated that petitioner no.1 is above 18 years of age, her date of birth being 11.05.1995 as per her Secondary School certificate.

It has further been stated that respondents no.4 & 5, i.e. the parents of petitioner no.1, have given affidavits in the Police Station, to the effect that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but with a direction to respondents no.2 & 3 to continue to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are duly protected, as per law.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 23, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No