

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5030-2017

Date of Decision: 15.02.2017

Karam Singh

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though when the petitioners' property was attacked in the year 2015 (as contended), and the police duly came and ousted the persons who were seeking to occupy the property and had handed over the key of the rooms constructed thereupon to the petitioner, thereafter, allegedly, he has not been allowed to enter in the property, by the persons who are stated to have tried to occupy it in the year 2015, allegedly in connivance with respondent no. 4.

He submits that though an application in this regard was made to the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) on 27.12.2016, no action has been taken thereupon.

A perusal of the application (Annexure P-1), however, reveals that no specific allegation has been made against respondent no. 4 therein but a direction has been sought to the SHO, i.e. respondent no. 4, to ensure that the petitioner is not troubled at the hands of those who are allegedly troubling him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied, at this stage, if directions are issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-respondent no. 3, to take timely action upon the representation made to him.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 3, Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali, to look into the matter at his own level and to take action as is required as per law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 15, 2017
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.