

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CrI. Misc. No. M-50052 of 2017
Date of Decision: December 28, 2017

Asmina and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Sakshi Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners

-. -

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek protection of life and liberty as they fear that respondents No. 4 to 8 (father, mother and brothers of petitioner No. 1) will cause them bodily harm as their marriage has been performed against their wishes.

Petitioner No. 1 states that her former husband has divorced her orally. For petitioner No. 2, it is the second marriage and his first wife is residing with him. Both the petitioners are major.

Without commenting upon the validity of the marriage between petitioners No. 1 and 2, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 to ensure that life and liberty of the petitioners be protected by providing them adequate protection. The representation (Annexure P-4) already made as well as the facts mentioned in this petition shall be kept in mind by him.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

December 28, 2017

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No