

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-8428 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.11.2017.

Suman Bansal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. AG Punjab
assisted by ASI Jaspal Singh.

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438

Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.266 dated 30.11.2015

registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station City

Rajpura, District Patiala.

On 10.03.2016, this Court had passed the following

order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they are ready to settle the matter with the complainant.

On oral request, the petitioners are permitted to implead the complainant as respondent. Amended memo of parties be filed and thereafter notice of motion be issued, returnable for 13.05.2016.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and if they are sought to be arrested, they shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer subject to the conditions laid down in section 438 sub section 2 clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 10.03.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The matter was posted before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre. As per the report dated 11.10.2017, the matter stands amicably settled. Learned counsel for the parties state that they have instructions to state that the terms and conditions of the settlement shall be honoured in toto.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the order dated 10.03.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioners to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The parties shall remain bound by the settlement reached before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

The petition stands allowed.

17.11.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No