

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8369 of 2016

Date of decision: 3rd February, 2017

Major Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Harjot S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Allegations against the petitioner Major Singh in this anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.2 dated 17.01.2016 under Section 420 IPC pertaining to Police Station Khilchian, District Amritsar are that an organization under the name and style of “Rashtriya Sarv Siksha Abhiyan” alleged to be based in New Delhi in the year 2012 had invited applications for filling up certain posts in the Department of Sarv Sikhia Abhiyan and as a consequence of which many aspirants applied on the basis of the same. The complainant Shamsheer Singh claims that his son who too was an aspirant was duped by the present petitioner Major Singh, an ex-Sarpanch of the village of complainant, on this false assurance of getting him a job and in the process took Rs.4.50 lacs but failed to do anything, leading to registration of the case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner Mr.Harjot Singh Mann, Advocate has argued that the petitioner in consequence of the orders of interim bail earlier issued had joined the investigations and that nothing has been recovered from him and has prayed for grant of the relief.

The same is stoutly opposed by learned State counsel who has categorically taken a stand that the petitioner has failed to cooperate in the investigations and recovery is yet to be effected and all the necessary documents pertaining to this façade of fraudulent enticement of jobs needs to be unearthed.

Appreciating the submissions, the petitioner at the first instance has failed to join the investigations in spite of repeated directions of this Court together with the seriousness of the allegations and heinousness of the offence and that it is very much essential to recover all the incriminating documents which have lead to this scandal and therefore custodial interrogation is essential, impels this Court to decline the prayer made.

Thus, the petition being without any merit stands dismissed.
Records be sent back.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 3, 2017

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No