

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8107 of 2016

Date of decision: 1st June, 2017

Mani Kant

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. N.S. Mahal, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Report dated 28.09.2016 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Jyoti Khera, Indira Khera and Sehdev Khera and that of the accused namely Mani Kant, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, the fact that parties belong to the same very area and the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in

‘Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another’ 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and **‘Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.235 dated 27.05.2014 registered at Police Station Central, Faridabad under Sections 279/337/338 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

June 1, 2017

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No