

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Misc. No. M-49195 of 2017

Date of Decision: 22.12.2017

Honey Bedi

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Shekher Dhawan.

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been preferred by the petitioner seeking directions to respondent(s) No.1 to 4 to take appropriate legal action against respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that despite the fact that the entire loan amount has been paid and still respondent No.5 tried to take away the vehicle with the help of recovery agents, which is totally contrary to the law. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner has made a representation dated 7.11.2017 (Annexure P1) to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab and respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, but the same remained unattended till now.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and perusal of record, respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, is directed to look into the representation dated

7.11.2017 (Annexure P1) and take required action, if any, as per law.

With the aforesaid observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

December 22, 2017
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No