

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. M 7860 of 2016
Date of decision: 16.01.2017

Paramjeet Kaur and another *Petitioners*
Versus
State of Punjab and others *Respondents*

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners
Mr. Navdeep Singh DAG, Punjab
None for the complainant

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J.

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No. 58 dated 24.11.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 406 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered with Women Police Station, District Bathinda and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 27.02.2016 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Original compromise deed dated 27.02.2016 filed in Court is taken on record.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the parties have mutually resolved their dispute vide compromise deed dated 27.02.2016.

Vide order dated 19.09.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bathinda wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of the report of trial Court.

A perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '*Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*', 2012(4) *R.C.R. (Criminal)* 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 58 dated 24.11.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC registered with Women Police Station, District Bathinda and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 27.02.2016 stand quashed qua the petitioners.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

16.01.2017
mohan bimbura

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
whether reportable	:	Yes/No