

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

269

CRL. MISC. No.M-7807 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 21st FEBRUARY, 2017

Shamshad & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manoj K. Pundir, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 5.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.121 dated 31.12.2011 registered under Sections 341, 323, 148 & 149 at Police Station City Malerkotla, District Sangrur, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 to 5 submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 30.11.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it

is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-7807 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.121 dated 31.12.2011 registered under Sections 341, 323, 148 & 149 at Police Station City Malerkotla, District Sangrur, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioners.

21st February, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.