

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRL. MISC. No.M-491 OF 2017  
DATE OF DECISION : 23<sup>rd</sup> FEBRUARY, 2017

Ramdev Mittal

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

\* \* \* \*

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would make representation to State Government since he is aggrieved by way in which the investigation is made.

In my opinion, the petitioner ought to approach the higher authority including the State Government. In that view of the matter the petitioner is allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the State Government with appropriate representation, which in that case shall take the decision within 8 weeks from the receipt of the representation.

Disposed of accordingly.

23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2017  
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes No

*Whether Reportable* : Yes No