

120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Hemlata
2017.12.19 16:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-48730-2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 19.12.2017

Pooja and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Ms. Bandana Dogra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the premise that petitioner No.1 has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3 – State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that a representation dated 18.12.2017 was made to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran (Annexure P-4) seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Heard.

Without examining the question of validity or otherwise of marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to look into grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation dated 18.12.2017 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

It is made clear that in case any FIR is registered against petitioner No.2, it will be open for the police authorities to take action in accordance with law.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

19.12.2017
Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No