

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-48728 of 2017
DECIDED ON : 19.12.2017**

Ekta Sharma and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 to 6.

It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 14.12.2017 sent by the petitioners to respondent No. 3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural Amritsar, District Amritsar (Annexure P-5).

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of "***Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another***", JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural Amritsar, District Amritsar, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 19, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned ? *Yes*
Whether reportable ? *No*