

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.116

CRM-M No.4860 of 2017
Date of decision: 14.02.2017

Neelakshi Trikha and another

....Petitioners

versus

The State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 13.02.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur (respondent No.2) is directed to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 13.02.2017 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf

Jyoti Sharma
2017.02.14 16:29 of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 14, 2017

Jyoti 1

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
- (ii) *Whether reportable Yes/No*