

**226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M No.8403 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 17, 2017**

Baldev Kaur and another **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate for the complainant.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Report of the Commission of Inquiry has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record, in which it is found that the case is false. Therefore, the Commission recommended to the Government to pay ₹2,00,000/- as compensation and ordered registration of FIR under Section 58 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 and Sections 193 and 195 IPC against the defaulting police officials.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagjit Singh, states that the State has already filed the cancellation report.

The State is directed to take follow up action on the report of Commission, in accordance with law after following the rules.

In view of the report of the Commission, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file application in case the compensation is not paid within the reasonable time.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

November 17, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No