

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-48506 of 2017
DECIDED ON : 18.12.2017**

Simranjit Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. L. S. Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 to 6.

It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 15.12.2017 sent by the petitioners to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot (Annexure P-5).

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf

of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4 and states that date of birth of petitioner No.1-Simranjit Kaur is 01.02.2000 and therefore, she is less than 18 years of age.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, contends that date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 01.10.1999 and he has referred to the copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.1 in this regard, which is annexed as Annexure P-1.

Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of "***Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another***", **JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 18, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned ? *Yes*

Whether reportable ? *No*