

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48432 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.12.2017

Veena Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This petition has been filed seeking an inquiry of the complaint (Annexure P-1) submitted by the petitioner before S.H.O, Sector 26, Chandigarh against respondent no.5 by the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) or some other independent agency.

As per the allegations in the complaint (Annexure P-1), the petitioner met respondent no.5 through Facebook in September, 2016. It is stated that the petitioner was divorced from her husband prior thereto. It is further stated that respondent no.5 on the false promise of marriage allured her and established physical relations with her. However, he has now refused to marry her. Legal action was thus prayed for against respondent no.5.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner at length.

It has been observed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others 2008(1) R.C.R (Criminal)392** that in such a situation an aggrieved person has remedies which should ordinarily be availed of at the first instance. Though an alternative remedy is not an

absolute bar in approaching this Court, it is observed that ordinarily this Court should not interfere. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to point out any extraordinary circumstances which call for interference by this Court in view of the equally efficacious alternate remedy/ remedies available with the petitioner.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

Needless to say, the petitioner is at liberty to avail any remedy/ remedies as may be available to her in accordance with law.

18.12.2017

s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.