

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-48366 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.12.2017

Sandeep Sharma @ Gandhi

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab
assisted by HC Sudesh Kumar.

Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 42 dated 26.03.2015, registered under Sections 452, 323, 324, 506, 326, 450 and 307 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Morinda, District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Annexure P-2 to contend that the parties have compromised the matter. Co-accused, Balvinder Kaur @ Harwinder Kaur and Sonu have already been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail by this Court.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2/complainant admits the factum of compromise and states that he has no objection in case the present petition is allowed.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the parties have compromised the matter; the challan stands presented; charges have been framed, however no PW has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.12.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No