

247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7017 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: December 21, 2017

Surjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Ankush Thakral, Advocate for

Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.111 dated 23.8.2008, under Sections 452, 427, 379, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Mehta, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Area Judicial Magistrate for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned Sub Division Judicial Magistrate, Baba Bakala Sahib, District Amritsar stating that

the statements have been recorded and the compromise is voluntarily, out of their free will, without any pressure or coercion and is genuine.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.111 dated 23.8.2008, under Sections 452, 427, 379, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Mehta, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 21, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No