

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM- M- 4832 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: May 30, 2017

Baljinder Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG., Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has filed in Court today an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Batala, stating that the statements of the petitioners were recorded, to the effect that they do not require any police protection.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but with a direction to respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners continue to be protected, within the four corners of law.

However, it is made clear that petitioner No.2 being admittedly below 21 years of age, i.e. the legally marriageable age for a male under The Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006,

no proceedings initiated under the said Act would be considered to be barred by any order passed by this Court. Further, if any of the averments made in the petition are found to be false, proceedings as per law would continue despite orders passed in this petition.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 30, 2017
archana

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/no
Whether reportable: Yes/no