

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-4828 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 26.04.2017.

Gurmail Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.177 dated 29.09.2016 registered under Sections 307 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Guhla, District Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there was no motive for the petitioner to commit the offence in question. The alleged occurrence took place on a petty matter and the incident was not a planned one. There is no evidence to connect the petitioner with the alleged offence. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and is in custody since 17.10.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the challan stands already presented, the petitioner is in custody since 17.10.2016, out of 12 prosecution witnesses, six have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely concluded that the conclusion of trial will take a long time so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

26.04.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No