

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.6848 of 2016
Date of Decision : 11.07.2017**

Vivek Mudgil

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present : Mr. R.K. Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. A.D.S. Sukhija, Advocate
for the complainant/respondent No.2.

LISA GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 129 dated 27th December, 2015 registered under Sections 498-A/406 IPC at Police Station Malout City, District Mukatsar.

It is submitted that during the pendency of this petition, the petitioner as well as complainant/respondent No.2 have amicably resolved the entire dispute between them. They have decided to part ways.

The petitioner and the complainant/respondent No.2 duly identified by their counsel, are present in the Court today. It is affirmed and verified by both of them that they have settled the dispute between themselves. It has been agreed that both of them shall withdraw all/any proceedings which they may have initiated against each other. A petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 would be filed by

them. A sum of ₹13.00 lacs would be received by the complainant/respondent No.2 as full and final settlement of her claims-past, present and future, that she may have against the petitioner. The terms and conditions have been reduced into writing today itself. The said agreement dated 11th of July, 2017 filed in the Court today, is taken on record, subject to just exceptions.

Learned counsel for the complainant/respondent No.2 submits that in view of the settlement between the parties, his client has no objection in case this petition is allowed, subject to strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the agreement, by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Jagjit Singh, verifies that the petitioner has joined the investigation. .

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above, specifically the settlement arrived at between the parties but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition. Consequently, order dated 26th of February, 2016 is made absolute.

Liberty is afforded to the complainant/respondent No.2 to move an appropriate application in case the petitioner does not adhere to the terms and conditions of the agreement.

July 11, 2017
Dpr

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No