

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-4815 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision :08.12.2017

Gurpreet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rathore, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

LISA GILL,J(Oral)

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.103 dated 05.10.2016, under Section 406, 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Rupnagar, District Rupnagar.

During the pendency of this petition, the petitioner and respondent no.2 decided to amicably part ways. It is not in dispute that petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, has since been filed. Part of the settled amount has been received by respondent no.2. Rest of the settled amount shall be handed over to respondent no.2 at the time of recording of the statements of the parties at second motion in the petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, on 05.05.2018.

Learned counsel for respondent no.2 verifies and affirms the factum of above said settlement between the parties as well as filing of

the petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. it is verified that part of the settled amount has been received by respondent no.2 and respondent no.2 has no objection in case this petition is allowed subject to the petitioner strictly adhering to the terms and conditions of the settlement.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Pritam Singh, verifies that the petitioner has joined investigation.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 15.02.2017, is made absolute.

Liberty is afforded to respondent no.2 to move an appropriate application in this matter in case the terms and conditions of the settlement between the parties are not adhered to by the petitioner.

08.12.2017

s.khan

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No