

131

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48143 of 2017

Date of decision: December 15, 2017

Gulzar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG
Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Rule. Heard forthwith.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.1209 dated
23.06.2016, under Section 135 of the Electricity Act, 2003 (for short
'Act'), registered at Police Station Irrigation and Power Supply,
Ambala.

I have seen the impugned order. The offence is under
Section 135 of the Act, which is non-bailable offence. The amount
involved, according to the prosecution is ₹10,684/- which the counsel
for the petitioner states that has already been paid. As stated by learned
trial Court, the penalty amount of ₹14,567/- has already been paid.
Learned trial Court has observed that the petitioner is a habitual
offender in the theft of electricity.

Be that as it may, petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail upon furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer, looking to the quantum of amount and the fact that he has made the entire payment. Petitioner shall file undertaking with the police station concerned that he will not indulge in similar or any other kind of offence in future. Petitioner shall attend the police station concerned between on Sunday 11:00 AM to 04:00 PM every week for a period of 2 months.

Petition stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be given to the learned State counsel under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 15, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No