

**226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-4808 of 2017 (O&M).

Date of Decision: 31.08.2017.

Shamsher Singh Verma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana.

Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This is fourth bail application filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking grant of regular bail in case FIR No.232 dated 25.10.2013, registered under Sections 354-A and 376 IPC and Section 4 of the Protection of Children against Sexual Offences Act at Police Station Civil Lines, District Kaithal.

It is contended that the petitioner is 58 year old. He is an Income Tax Inspector. He has been falsely implicated at the instance of one Munish Verma, the paternal uncle of the prosecutrix.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

It is to be noticed that the prosecutrix is aged 11 years. She was a student of third standard when the occurrence took place. Her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C was recorded before Judicial Magistrate. The petitioner is Jija (brother-in-law, gainer) of her grand-father. It has further come on record that the trial is at the fag end as the case is fixed for defence evidence. This is the fourth bail application. The learned counsel has not been able to state any facts in favour of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the fact that the trial is at fag end; no new circumstance has emerged warranting grant of bail and; taking into consideration the seriousness of the matter; no case for grant of concession of bail is made out. Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

31.08.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No