

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Date of decision: 17.02.2017

CRM M 6572 of 2016

Neeraj Goyal *Petitioner*

Versus

Sangeeta and another *Respondents*

CRM M 9809 of 2016

Sangeeta and another *Petitioners*

Versus

Neeraj Goyal and another *Respondents*

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. G S Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners (CRM M 6572 of 2016)
for the respondents (CRM M 9809 of 2016)

Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners (CRM M 9809 of 2016)
for the respondents (CRM M 6572 of 2016)

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

This order will dispose of aforesaid petitions as they have emerged from the same litigation.

The present petitions have been directed against the orders passed by the Courts below whereby Sangeeta (wife) and Hardik (minor son of the parties) have been awarded interim maintenance @ Rs.5,000.00 per month and Rs.2,000.00 respectively in proceedings under Section 125 of the

Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, Cr.P.C.).

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that interim maintenance granted by the trial Court may be kept intact but without prejudice to rights of the parties to raise all available pleas at the time of final disposal of petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. They have further submitted that the trial Court may be directed to decide the main petition in a time bound manner.

In view of the above, the petitions stand disposed of. Interim maintenance granted by the trial Court and affirmed in revision shall remain intact till disposal of the petition under section 125 Cr.P.C. However, the trial Court shall put its best efforts to dispose of the petition within a period of six months. This Court expects that the parties shall cooperate to enable the trial Court to dispose of the petition within the stipulated period.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

17.02.2017
mohan bimbura

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No