

CRM No. M-47772 of 2017

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-47772 of 2017
Date of Decision : 21.12.2017

Rakesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar Beniwal, Advocate and
Mr. Harsh Vardhan Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 132 dated 27.04.2017, under Sections 307, 34, 364, 397, 120-B IPC and Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Kalanaur, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the the petitioner is not named in the FIR and has been arraigned as an accused on the supplementary statement of the complainant and disclosure statement of the co-accused. He further contends that the injuries on the complainant has been specifically attributed to the other accused, who were duly named in the FIR. Learned counsel also contends that the petitioner has been in custody since his arrest on 12.07.2017. He also contends that similarly situated co-accused, Satyawan, has been granted regular bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM No. M-

46166 of 2017, decided on 08.12.2017.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner has been in custody for over 05 months, and similarly situated co-accused, Satyawar, has been granted regular bail, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. Petitioner, namely, Rakesh is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 21, 2017
kanchan

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>