

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-47742-2017 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 14.12.2017**

**Satpal Singh**

**.....Petitioner**

**versus**

**State of Haryana**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh**

Present: Mr.Tarundeep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)**

Impugned in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is the order dated 9.11.2017 Annexure P3, vide which the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra allowed the revision against the order of Learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Kurukshetra and ordered the framing of additional charge under Section 354 IPC against Satpal Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the charge was framed at a belated stage when the case was fixed for defence evidence. No new evidence has come. I am of the view that the learned Additional Sessions Judge has given sound reasoning in support of his order, stating that the additional charge is required to be framed. Charge can be framed at any stage of the case. There is no illegality and infirmity in the impugned order.

Resultantly, the present petition stands dismissed.

**14.12.2017**

*gk*

**(Kuldeep Singh)  
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No