

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.47732 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 13.12.2017**

Gurpreet Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners are in person along with
Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner No.1, who is British National, aged 19 years, date of birth 21.11.1999 and petitioner no.2 who is Indian national, aged about 21 years, date of birth 29.7.1996, state that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 09.12.2017. They apprehend danger to their life and liberty from respondent nos.4 and 5, who are relatives of petitioner no.1. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure P-3. Copy of birth certificate of petitioner No.1 and the copy of secondary school examination certificate of petitioner No.2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively. Certificate of marriage from Gurdwara Sadar Bazar, Amritsar has been placed on file as Annexure P-4. Photocopies of passport of the petitioners filed in Court are taken on record.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 9.12.2017 (Annexure-P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, (Rural)

Jalandhar for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to

their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, (Rural) Jalandhar, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 9.12.2017 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage. Disposed of accordingly.

13.12.2017

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No