

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.242)

CRM-M-6386-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-May 11, 2017

Sushila Devi and another

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Rajnish K. Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

I have perused the reply by way of affidavit filed by Shri Manpreet Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Circle Nabha, District Patiala dated March 22, 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after perusal of the said reply petitioners would take up their own remedies as are available under law before the trial Court.

Having perused the reply, it is clear therefrom that the alleged inquiries and investigations made by the police do not show complicity of any person. That being the stand taken by the State and since the law provides for remedy to the complainant, I dispose of the present petition reserving liberty in favour of the petitioners to take up such remedies as are available in law before the trial Court.

Disposed of.

May 11, 2017

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No