

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4762-2017

Date of Decision: 28.02.2017

Vandana

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to notice issued on 14.02.2017, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the police official who is present in Court today to assist him, submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, money stated to have been paid by the complainant to the petitioner and her husband is still to be recovered.

However, whether the money is found to be payable or not, would obviously be subject matter of further investigation.

This Court already having dismissed the petition seeking anticipatory bail filed by the husband of the petitioner, for the reasons stated in that petition, who is her co-accused, I see no reason to deny continuance of the interim order dated 14.02.2017, to the petitioner, she not being a signatory to the agreement in question.

Consequently, upon the petitioner continuing to join investigation and cooperating, the interim order dated 14.02.2017 is made absolute, on the same terms and conditions.

No observation made hereinabove shall be construed to be a comment on the merits of the allegations against the petitioner.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 28, 2017
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.