

236

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47499 of 2017

Date of decision: December 19, 2017

Kalim Ahmed

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior DAG, Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.72 dated 25.08.2016, under Sections 20, 61, 85 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Rajpura, District Patiala.

According to the prosecution, the petitioner is resident of District U.P. and was arrested on 25.08.2016. He further submits that petitioner has undergone almost more than one year in jail.

Upon instructions from ASI Gurjeet Singh, learned counsel for the State submits that there is no other case pending against the petitioner.

In my opinion, the period already by the petitioner is

sufficient and in the absence of any other case, no purpose would be served in keeping him to custody and trial will take long time in conclusion.

In that view of the matter, the petitioner be released on bail, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) The **CRM-M-47499 of 2017** is allowed.
- (ii) The petitioner be released on bail to the satisfaction of the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate.
- (iii) The petitioner to surrender his passport with the trial Court, if he possesses the same.
- (iv) The petitioner would file an undertaking to the trial Court that they will not commit the same or any other kind of offence in future.
- (v) Petitioner shall not tamper, influence and threaten the prosecution witnesses and complainant as well.
- (vi) In case, the petitioner violates the above said conditions, this order of bail comes to an end automatically without reference to this Court.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 19, 2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No