

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4748 of 2017

Date of decision: February 22, 2017

Sonu Kumar @ mukesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. J.S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the FIR as well as impugned order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the petitioner is not found in the FIR. The reasons recorded by the trial Judge and further, in the FIR, the allegations against the petitioner are serious that the petitioner and others entered the house of the professor, namely Nageshwar Ranade and committed dacoity. Learned counsel for the petitioner invited my attention to the order granting bail made by the Coordinate Bench of this Court. However, as stated by the learned State counsel, the aspect of parity cannot be applied as the said person was found to be the student of the same professor. In that view of the matter, present petition is rejected.

Petition stands dismissed, accordingly. Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

February 22, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No