

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6162-2016(O&M)

Date of decision: 3.5.2017

Raman

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and Anr

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab with
SI Kulwant Kaur.

None for respondent No.2.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that mediation proceedings could not be held as the complainant/respondent No.2 did not appear before the Mediators despite the proceedings fixed for the purpose of mediation on several dates, sufficient to show that the complainant does not want settlement of the dispute.

Counsel would argue that the petitioner has already joined investigation in compliance with interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 19.2.2016 and recovery of articles claimed by the complainant has already been effected.

Counsel for the State has submitted that recovery of remaining articles except one gold set has been effected but the complainant has not produced any document before the Investigating Officer in regard to said gold set.

There is no representation on behalf of the complainant who was earlier being represented by Sh. Gautam Thapar, Advocate.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of; interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 19.2.2016 is made absolute subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) he shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

MAY 3, 2017
'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No