

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-47209-2017

Date of Decision:19.12.2017

Sunder

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, D.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.236 dated 28.05.2016 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 201, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not a beneficiary and the allegation against him is that he has identified a wrong person. He relies upon the order dated 15.11.2017 passed in CRM-M-41834-2017 (Raj Pal Versus State of Haryana), wherein Raj Pal, co-accused of the petitioner, has been admitted on bail by this Court. He further states that in view of the fact that co-accused of the petitioner has been granted bail, the present petitioner deserves to be admitted on bail.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the fact that co-accused, namely, Raj Pal has been admitted on bail vide order dated 15.11.2017.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering

the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 04.10.2017 and co-accused of the petitioner has already been admitted on bail, coupled with the fact that trial in the case may take long time, I deem it appropriate to release him on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Needless to say that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case.

December 19, 2017
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No