

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

-:-

**CRM-M No.6991 of 2015 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 02.03.2017**

Pawan Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr.

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

Present: Mr. Vivek Kathuria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Bhanot, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

**Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)**

Quashing of Crl. Appeal No. 333 of 2014, titled “State of Punjab Vs. Pawan Kumar” arisen out of FIR No. 140 dated 21.08.2008 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Phase-8, Mohali, is being sought on the basis of compromise Annexure P/2.

Respondent No. 2 got married to the petitioner on 24.09.2007. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of harassment and humiliation caused on the pretext of bringing less dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised as it is evident from the order passed by this Court on 11.09.2014 in FAO No. M-86 of 2013, titled as “Kulwinder Kaur Vs. Pawan Kumar”. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by petitioner.

Consequently, in view of order dated 11.09.2014 passed in FAO No M-86 of 2013 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910*, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, Crl. Appeal No. 333 of 2014, titled "State of Punjab Vs. Pawan Kumar" arisen out of FIR No. 140 dated 21.08.2008 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Phase-8, Mohali, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

March 02, 2017  
tripti

(RITU BAHRI)  
JUDGE