

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4700-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.05.2017

Gagandeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashish Naik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, D.A.G., Punjab,
for respondents no. 1 to 3.

Ms. Ashima Mor, A.P.P. for U.T., Chandigarh,
for respondents no. 4 and 5.

Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate,
for respondents no. 6 to 8.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 13.02.2017, issuing notice in this petition, by which the petitioners seek protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no. 6 to 8, Mr. Pannu, D.A.G., Punjab, has filed in Court today an affidavit of Sh. Ramandeep Singh, PPS Deputy Superintendent of Police City-II, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 3, stating to the effect that though petitioner no. 2 was not available at his address given in the petition in Sector 23/C, Chandigarh, however, upon visiting the house of petitioner no. 2, her parents were present there, who have 'given' their affidavits, to the effect that petitioner no. 1 is totally free to live wherever she wants and that they have not threatened or harassed the petitioners in any way.

Ms. Ashima Mor, A.P.P. for U.T., Chandigarh, appearing for respondents no. 4 and 5 also reiterates to the same effect.

Learned counsel for respondents no. 6 to 8 has appeared and has also filed an affidavit of Sh. Gurmeet Singh (respondent No. 6), stating that respondents no. 7 and 8 are his (respondent no. 6s') son and daughter-in-law respectively, residing in New-Zealand and that he himself only wishes that his daughter, i.e. petitioner no. 1, should remain in safe custody and no harm should visit her. He has also made a request that the current address of the petitioners be made available.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has stated that the parents of petitioner no. 2 live in Meerut at the address given in the memo of parties and the petitioners have now shifted to Ghaziabad, petitioner no. 2 having been transferred to Noida from Mohali by his company, i.e. Tata Consultancy Services Limited.

The current address of the petitioners having been supplied to learned counsel for respondent no. 6, respondents no. 2 and 3, i.e. the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali (Punjab) and SHO, Police Station Phase VIII, Mohali, (Punjab), are directed to ensure that in case respondent no. 6 wishes to visit the parents of petitioner no. 2 at Meerut, or the petitioners themselves at Ghaziabad, he is duly accompanied by a police official, who would thereafter, contact the concerned police station in Meerut/Ghaziabad, to ensure that no harm comes to respondent no. 6, or to the petitioners.

Obviously, respondent no. 6 would visit the petitioners/parents of petitioner no. 2 after due intimation.

The petition is disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

May 16, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.