

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-46886-2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 16.12.2017

Rubina and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. R.S. Ghuman, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner No. 1 states that her husband had divorced her by way of triple talaq. It is further claimed that petitioners were in love before marriage of petitioner No. 1, but she was forcibly married. Now, both the petitioners are living together in live-in relationship. Petitioners seeks protection to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Panipat-respondent No. 2 to look into the grievance of petitioners, as contained in complaint dated 10.11.2017 (Annexure-P-5) and take necessary corrective measures.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.12.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No