

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 235

CRM-M-5532-2016

Decided on : 14.02.2017

Jai Bhagwan and another

..... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A. G., Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr. P. C., the petitioners seek the issuance of a direction to the respondents to protect their life and liberty.

In response to the petition, on behalf of the State an affidavit of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Narwana, District Jind, has been filed, in which it has been stated that on 10.02.2016 one Sachin Kumar, son of Girdhari Lal submitted an application alongwith a copy of MLR alleging therein that he was telephonically called by petitioner No. 1 to Narwana. When he reached there, petitioner No. 1 asked him to visit Ambala for taking delivery of charas which he declined resulting in petitioner No. 1 giving him beatings. It is further alleged in the affidavit that petitioner No. 1 also threatened the above-said Sachin Kumar and locked him in a room. He was later on rescued by a passer-by. On the basis of the application submitted by Sachin Kumar, DDR No. 31, dated 10.02.2016 was lodged and preventive action under Sections 107 and 151 Cr. P. C. was taken against petitioner No.1 as also petitioner No.2 alongwith one Sanni, son of Mahi Pal.

It is further alleged in the affidavit that petitioner No. 1 is also facing trial in FIR No. 174, dated 01.07.2014 under Sections 20, 61 and 85 of the NDPS Act.

The affidavit further goes on to state that the allegations with regard to harassment and beatings to the petitioners at the hands of the police are frivolous and false and that the matter with regard to protection of their life and liberty was inquired into which yielded no positive evidence.

The above averments have not been countered by the petitioners. Even there is no appearance on their behalf.

In view of the above, the petition deserves to be dismissed.

Ordered accordingly.

14.02.2017
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No