

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

**204**

**CRM-M No. 4680 of 2017  
Date of decision: 23.5.2017**

**GURCHARAN SINGH**

**.....petitioner**

**Versus**

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

**.....respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Ghumman, Addl.A.G.Punjab.

Mr.Iqbal Singh Mann, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(oral)**

On 14.2.2017, following order was passed by this  
Court:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that payment of an amount of Rs.2,88,000/- is admitted as per receipt Annexure P1 for which gold ornaments were given to the complainant. Receipt dated 13.12.2013 in a sum of Rs.4 lacs and receipt dated 21.09.2013 in a sum of Rs.5 lacs were never signed by the petitioner. The rest of the alleged amount was never paid to the petitioner, nor the same has been authenticated by way of any material.*

*Notice of motion for 31.03.2017.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 19.02.2017 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

The fact qua alleged execution of receipt dated 13.12.2013 in a sum of ₹ 4 lacs and receipt dated 21.9.2013 in a sum of ₹ 5 lacs would remain debatable. Petitioner has admitted the execution of receipt , Annexure P-1, vide which an amount of ₹ 2,88,000/- was received by the petitioner.

Petitioner has joined the investigation and this fact has not been disputed by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Santa Singh.

In view of aforesaid, interim order dated 14.2.2017 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

**May 23, 2017**  
anita

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether reasoned/Speaking**  
***Whether reportable***

**Yes/No**  
**Yes/No**