

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-4674 of 2017

.....

Date of decision:13.2.2017

Yadwinder Singh

...Petitioner

v.

State of Hayana

...Respondent

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Paramjit Singh Sullar, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.191 dated 1.5.2016 registered for the offences under Sections 148, 149, 323, 302 and 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the petitioner is named in the FIR. He was stated to be armed with 'Gandasa'. Though no specific injury has been attributed to him, but it is in the FIR that all named accused had given injuries.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, nature and gravity of the offences and the fact that the weapon is still to be recovered, I find that the petitioner is required for custodial

[2]

interrogation. Therefore, I do not find it a fit case where the present petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Hence, finding no merit in this petition, the same is dismissed.

February 13, 2017.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No