

225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46712 of 2017

Date of decision: December 14, 2017

Monu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.184 dated 10.07.2015, under Sections 302, 323, 346, 364, 201, 202, 148, 149 & 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Baroda, District Sonapat.

The petitioner was arrested on 06.08.2015 and since then he is in jail. The prosecution has examined six witnesses who are material witnesses. I have perused the evidence of the witnesses produced before me by counsel for the petitioner. It is not in dispute and is clear from the statement of PW-6 Dalbir that the *corpus delict* was not found and there is no trace about the dead body of Manjeet.

The trial Court has rejected the petition for regular bail on the ground

that the recovery of belongings of Manjeet was made from him.

In that view of the matter, I do not think, prima facie, that the petitioner should be declined the relief of regular bail. Hence, I make the following order:-

- (i) The **CRM-M-46712 of 2017** is allowed.
- (ii) Bail to the satisfaction of the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 14, 2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No